

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,150	07/16/2003	Matthew Louis Courcy	NSC-P05579	1923	
7590 11/24/2004			EXAMINER		
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			WILLIAMS, HOWARD L		
Third Floor Two North Mar	ket Street	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Jose, CA 95113			2819		
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/200	DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/622,150	COURCY, MATTHEW LOUIS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Howard L. Williams	2819			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the c, cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration:				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Notice of Draitsperson's Fatelit Drawing Review (FTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/622,150

Your Reference: NSC-P05579

Art Unit: 2819

Claim 24 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 24 reads:

24. The system of claim 19, wherein the internal clock signal is for use by and analog to digital converter coupled to do clock signal duty cycle stabilization circuit.

Poor construction aside, everything in claim 24 is seen to appear in claim 19 lines 5-8; thus, claim 24 fails to further limit claim 19.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5 and 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Ma (US 6320437). The "clock pulse generator" (30; fig. 2) provides edge detection that receives an external clock signal at input 33 and provides an output to latch (10;fig. 2) which is an S-R flip-flop. The clock pulse generator includes a NAND gate (N1) and delay elements to supply the second NAND gate input.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-12 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as unpatentable over Ma (US 6320437) in view of Huynh (US 20030107432 A1). Ma discloses the clock duty cycle regulator and mentions myriad uses for the improved clock signal but does not explicitly mention an analog-to-digital converter. Huynh discloses a switched capacitor

Application/Control Number: 10/622,150

Your Reference: NSC-P05579

Art Unit: 2819

circuit that is used in an analog-to-digital converter and desires to provide an output each clock cycle and recognizes the need for a 50% duty cycle clock (¶ 0030). The clock duty cycle regulator of Ma would have been an obvious choice to provide a 50% duty cycle clock signal because use of the a cleanly squared clock signal would provide a suitable clock to enable Huynh to achieve the output once each cycle thus increasing the circuit through-put.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stark US 6,323,706 B1 discloses a clock generation circuit including NAND gate edge detection and a S-R flip-flop as the latch; see figure 8. Zheng US 2002/0075730 A1 shows a NAND gate based edge detection (fig. 2A) and latch including an S-R flip-flop. Coleman US 5852286 A discloses a bar code reader including an analog-to-digital converter that is clocked by edge detection element 76 in fig. 10. Lin US 20040203479 A1 discloses a receiver including an analog-to-digital converter and recognizes the need for accurate 50 percent duty cycle clocks which he generates with an edge detection based clock generation circuit.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Howard L. Williams at telephone number 571.272.1815. The Patent and Trademark Office has a new central facsimile number for application specific correspondence intended for entry, it is 703-872-9306.

11/17/04 Voice 571.272.1815 Howard L. Williams Primary Examiner Art Unit 2819